



NSW Electoral Districts Redistribution Committee
redistribution@elections.nsw.gov.au

Dear Sir,

I had earlier made a submission for the redistribution of boundaries in NSW. Its reference was NSW200608/2.

I wish to take advantage of the opportunity to comment on other proposals, my comments are not particularly critical, instead highlighting areas of agreement and offering solutions where there is some variance of ideas. I note however there are considerable similarities amongst some proposals.

Observations

In my submission I sought to minimise changes unless necessary and this served to preserve communities of interest, used existing boundaries, used significant man made or natural boundaries and proposed boundaries that met the numerical requirements of the electoral legislation.

I have used a narrative and spreadsheet approach to make my comments.

The Greens

I note they like me arrived at the conclusion that there is no need to abolish any seats outside of Sydney. They note that at least one inner Sydney District needs to be abolished to accommodate elector growth in the western suburbs, a point I agree with.

In respect of the Illawarra I believed the existing split between Heathcote and Keira should be maintained, rather than Heathcote move further south into the Illawarra as it is primarily a Sydney District, not an Illawarra one.

The existing division between Port Stephens and Newcastle should be maintained rather than moved further south. I note areas of agreement regarding Coffs Harbour,

Oxley and Port Macquarie. I found the logic of Bathurst moving deep into the Hunter Valley around Cessnock a little difficult to follow.

One Nation Party

This submission relates only to 4 Districts in western Sydney. In relation to Blue Mountains the implied inclusion of Glenbrook and Lapstone in Blue Mountains is unavoidable in the former instance or highly desirable in the latter instance.

In relation to Penrith, I propose that a westerly portion of Penrith Council be included to the west of Londonderry Road, rather than Cambridge Park. Mulgoa could be left unchanged but I have proposed including Middleton Grange in the proposed new Badgery's Creek. ONP proposes that the new District be called Bringelly, this may be a reasonable alternative name for the new District. Camden other than shrinking in size can remain where it is and does not need to move south.

Shooters Fishers and Farmers Party (SFF)

I agree that no change needs to be made to Orange, with regards Murray that Jerilderie and Urana be included as opposed to Narrandera, and with Barwon that Liverpool Plains be included as opposed to Gunnedah.

Jeff Waddell

Jeff is a regular contributor to redistribution processes and has proposed that Bankstown be abolished as opposed to Canterbury and that a new District of Blacktown South be created. He does not propose the abolition of Davidson, a similar conclusion that I arrived at.

Mark Mulcair

Mark is a regular contributor to redistribution processes and has proposed that Davidson be abolished and replaced with Windsor in the north west of Sydney, and the replacement of Holsworthy with Edmondson Park.

Mark and I agree with the idea of feeding excess enrolments in the lower Hunter and lower North Coast to Districts west of the Great Dividing Range.

Mark makes an observation of the likely political implications of his proposals. Whilst this is not an actual consideration for the redistribution, it is a major item of interest to interested parties.

Mark has sought to place slow growing Districts above quota and fast growing ones below quota to average out elector numbers and I broadly agree with this. Some of his solutions (like Jeffs above) tend to possibly create more movements than strictly necessary, as mathematical imperatives whilst important do not need to be precise. The replacement of Holsworthy with Edmondson Park is in a similar area of Sydney like my proposed Badgery's Creek, but in most circumstances 'my Badgerys Creek would tend to go with the party with the larger state-wide two party preferred vote (TPP).

With respect to Upper Hunter the transfer of those parts of Maitland north of the Hunter River are an area of exact agreement between our submissions. Similarly (but not exactly) we agree that the more rural parts of the west of Cessnock should be placed in Upper Hunter.

With the Illawarra I believe my solution for Keira, Wollongong and Shellharbour are a better solution as the first two Districts have minimal elector growth and Shellharbour has growth but overall, the region has tepid elector growth. Transfers to Kiama are unnecessary and I note Mark has agreed no change needs to be made with Heathcote/Keira boundary. I had made the point in my submission that the existing outer boundaries of Keira, Wollongong and Shellharbour can be left unchanged and overtime the apparent voter excess will decline.

Comparison of mine, NP, LP and ALP proposals with comments

I have attached a spreadsheet to comment on the major players in the redistribution process. I am not one of them but have made it easier for the Committee to make a comparison of mine and the three major contributors to the redistribution process.

There are a small number of Districts where all or several of the contributors have provided exactly the same proposal. A number where there is significant agreement on direction and a number where there is some or significant divergence.

I have commented on the Illawarra and existing outer boundaries of Keira, Wollongong and Shellharbour. Within the three Districts are sufficient electors for exchanges between them without significantly disrupting other Districts. As noted by the Greens there is no need to abolish a non-Sydney District. The areas of difficulty are in the Hunter Valley, where there is a confluence of boundaries and the Liberal Party (LP) has provided a change which is worth considering. In previous state and federal redistributions, the north coast and New England region (and further west) have been affected by the placement of boundaries around the Hunter, Maitland and Cessnock. Creating a Lower Hunter Cessnock, a Maitland, Raymond Terrace and Gloucester Districts shifts the paradigm.

I have only proposed one District be abolished and that is Canterbury and others agree or abolish a Districts slightly west. The confluence of under quota Districts is in the inner west of Sydney. Canterbury enables them to be remedied. The creation of a western Sydney District is unavoidable as the population increase is there, not just projected. I propose Badgery's Creek, the Liberal Party Leppington, the National Party Windsor, and the Labor Party Minto. Badgery's Creek makes more sense as it is a growth centre, it can take electors from over quota Districts directly and does not involve major disruption of existing Districts which the proposed Minto does, and proposed Leppington does to a slightly lesser degree.

Some proposals such as crossing the M7 and M4 with Mount Druitt simply does not need to occur at all. Some changes make sense following a council boundary, many might not and in the case of those in relation to Lismore this is probably quite true. Casino would make more sense in Lismore than without, and effectively ignoring the local council boundary may be a better solution. In Sydney the local boundary between Woollahra and Waverley Councils which is a major road in most part makes more sense than ever. The wave of council mergers was controversial for some but fixing up some boundaries might be worthwhile alone. Having checked some truly asinine local government boundaries and seen them followed, let alone post code boundaries some of which make sense and others not.

Whilst I noted with Mark Mulcair the political impact of a change is not a relevant factor in the redistribution, it is of great interest to interested parties. I took issue with some of the south west of Sydney Labor Party proposals, as many cut back and forth across major roads and ignore local council boundaries and seemingly have little regard for communities of interest. A clear boundary is usually a good boundary.

Conclusion

I wish the committee well in this important democratic endeavour.

Regards



11/7/2020

District	Comments	MG	NP	LP	ALP
Albury	Increasing enrolment of Murray most easily accomplished from Albury	Same as NP	NP same as mine	Minor change with Wagga Wagga	No Change
Auburn	I believe that utilising electors further to the west is a better long term solution to elector shortfall	Transfers with Strathfield and Lakemba	No Change	No Change	No Change
Badgerys Creek	The transfers of the north of Camden to a new District Badgerys Creek is a preferable and less disruptive solution to this fast growing District.	New District	NA	NA	NA
Ballina	The LP proposals for Byron, Lismore and Clarence make much more sense than the local government boundaries which largely shape existing boundaries.	Minor transfer to Lismore (Wollongbar)	No Change	Interconnected changes between Byron, Lismore and Clarence. Renamed Byron	Slight shrinkage in size of District
Balmaln	No change	No Change	No Change	No Change	No Change
Bankstown	NP Bankstown has similarities to my proposal				Villawood
Barwon	NP rearrangement of Barwon and Murray-Darling has merit. I prefer my Liverpool Plains inclusion rather than modifying Tamworth.	Inclusion of Liverpool Plains Council from Upper Hunter		Changes with Tamworth	Inclusion of Gunnedah from Tamworth
Bathurst		No Change	No Change	No Change	No Change
Baulkham Hills				Proposal is quite reasonable but contingent on abolition of Davidson.	Some similarities with NP and my proposals
Bega	Bega could be left unchanged, but the only change I proposed was to place Bemboka in Monaro (reversing a previous change) as the disruption along a series of Districts was not necessary.		No Change	Changes with South Coast	Some minor changes that cascade up the coast.
Blacktown	Disagree with NP proposal to extend Blacktown north of the M7, and also the ALP proposal to extend over the M7 and the M4. A westward expansion into Mount Druitt would satisfy the requirement for additional electors.			Changes with Mount Druitt	Propose a northward and southward expansion
Blue Mountains	Mine, NP, LP and ALP boundaries the same	Addition of Glenbrook and Lapstone from Penrith	Changes with Penrith	Changes with Penrith	Changes with Penrith
Bonnyrigg			Bonnyrigg - created in place of Lakemba		Some similarities with NP and my proposals
Cabramatta		Modified in view of neighbouring District changes		Extensive changes with Bankstown, Fairfield and Liverpool	abolished
Camden	I do not agree with the NP solution for Camden or Wollondilly. I propose a slight northerly movement of Wollondilly with a transfer of an area west of the Hume Highway to Goulburn. The transfers of the north of Camden to a new District Badgerys Creek is a preferable and less disruptive solution to this fast growing District.	Losses to Badgerys Creek	renamed to Narellan	Changes with Holsworthy, Campbelltown, Leppington	Proposes shrinking Camden more to west for elector transfers to Wollondilly, Mulgoa and Campbelltown
Campbelltown	The enrolments of Campbelltown are sufficient for it to be left unchanged	No Change		Campbelltown and Macquarie Fields are significantly changed due to creation of Leppington.	Propose expansion across Hume Highway into Camden

Canterbury	Myself, the Liberal Party and ALP all arrive at the conclusion about the need to abolish a middle suburb western metropolitan District and whilst the Liberal Party agrees it should be Canterbury, the ALP has elected to rename Districts, but the same ultimate conclusion is that Canterbury is agreed to be abolished/renamed to the same effect.	Abolished. (Noting that ALP proposes abolishing a District further west instead - Cabramatta)		Abolished. (Noting that ALP proposes abolishing a District further west instead (Cabramatta)	Earlwood (renamed) and abolished District is Cabramatta. Summer Hill is renamed Canterbury
Castle Hill				Changes with Baulkham Hills	Some similarities with NP and my proposals
Cessnock	Whilst my submission relies heavily on existing boundaries and long in place constructs, I find the LP suggestions refreshing changes. They revisit some older names, some former boundaries, and create a Cessnock, Maitland more in keeping with federal Divisional boundaries, and Gloucester and Raymond Terrace with a more rural outlook and the latter more closely based near Newcastle. Some of these ideas should be adopted. If the committee goes in another direction the nature of the NP transfers to Upper Hunter make a lot sense.		Similar in transfers to Upper Hunter	Significant reconfiguration of Cessnock, Maitland, Upper Hunter (Gloucester), and Port Stephens (Raymond Terrace)	Minor changes
Charlestown		Minor exchange between Newcastle and Charlestown.		Changes with Newcastle and Swansea	Minor changes
Clarence	The LP proposals for Byron, Lismore and Clarence make much more sense than the local government boundaries which largely shape existing boundaries.	No Change	No Change	Interconnected changes between Byron, Lismore and Clarence	Changes with Lismore and Coffs Harbour
Coffs harbour	The LP submission has a large displacement of electors inherent in it in this region. Other than rebalancing numbers I do not believe a significant movement is necessary.	Changes needed to rebalance numbers between Coffs Harbour, Oxley and Port Macquarie.	No Change	Changes with Clarence	Changes with Clarence and Port Macquarie
Coogee	The eastern suburbs seem to be a much bigger area of dispute as three parties and an independent represent Districts there. Creating Districts around significant local government entities as the LP proposes such as Woollahra, Waverley has merit. If that theme is adopted, rather than Coogee, the District could be called Randwick after another local government area. Waverley would be ocean focused and Woollahra harbour focused relying on a council/main road boundary and which could prove a durable new configuration			Waverley - Significant changes with Vaucluse (Woollahra), Heffron, Maroubra	Maintains existing northern boundaries but adds parts of Kensington and Kingsford. That is reversing the changes from the previous redistribution.
Cootamundra	My inclusion of Yass and exclusion of Gundagai to Wagga Wagga I consider a better and less disruptive solution.	Includes bulk of Yass and excludes Gundagai to Wagga Wagga	Similar to mine by inclusion of Yass	Changes with Goulburn, Barwon and Monaro	Changes with Barwon, Murray, Wagga Wagga and Goulburn

Cronulla		No Change		Changes with Heathcote and Miranda	similar
Davidson	NP has proposed that additional electors in north west be used to supplement Districts on north shore, a position I agree with. I do not agree with the abolition of Davidson.			Propose abolition of District.	Gordon
Drummoyne	Given elector shortfalls nearer the City I had chosen sourcing electors from further west. I am inclined to that view still. The northern parts of Auburn Council and similar in many ways to the suburbs of Drummoyne and Strathfield.		Similar in some respects to LP and ALP submissions	Liberal Party proposes Strathfield North be in Drummoyne from Strathfield, and Haberfield from Summer Hill in Strathfield.	ALP proposes to add Haberfield from Summer Hill.
Dubbo	Does not need changes	No Change	minor additions	Minor changes with Upper Hunter	Inclusions from Upper Hunter
East Hills	I had suggested that remainder of Georges Hall be added if required (otherwise advocating no change) and LP proposal is this, NP this plus part of Bass Hill	No Change	Addition of Georges Hall and part of Bass Hill to District (similar to my optional change)	Addition of Georges Hall	Larger changes involving removing Georges Hall and inclusion of Bankstown.
Epping	NP has proposed that additional electors in north west be used to supplement Districts on north shore, a position I agree with			Proposal is quite reasonable but contingent on abolition of Davidson.	Some similarities with NP and my proposals
Fairfield		Adjustments to accommodate abolition of Canterbury and flow on effects to Districts to its west		Significant changes with Prospect and Cabramatta	Affected by consequence of changes
Galston				Galston - created from portions of Hornsby, Epping, Hawkesbury, Castle Hill and Ku-Ring-Gai	
Gosford	Does not need any changes	No Change	No Change	Minor changes with Wyong	No Change
Goulburn	I prefer my changes to Monaro, Goulburn and Cootamundra			Changes with Cootamundra, Monaro and Bathurst	Proposes an odd 'chicken-neck' construction which seems to not make a lot of sense geographically.
Granville			No Change	Changes with Fairfield	Affected by consequence of changes
Hawkesbury	I do not think there needs to be any changes to Hawkesbury, unless there is some significant need to rearrange boundaries, which I believe does not exist.	No Change	No Change	Changes with Riverstone	
Heathcote	Do not believe that it necessary to adjust existing boundary with Keira, the elongation of the District is not necessary	No Change	Extends further south into Keira	Changes with Miranda, Keira and Cronulla	Propose extending Heathcote further south into Illawarra
Heffron	The eastern suburbs seem to be a much bigger area of dispute as three parties and an independent represent Districts there. Creating Districts around significant local government entities as the LP proposes such as Woollahra, Waverley has merit. If that theme is adopted, rather than Coogee, the District could be called Randwick after another local government area.			Coogee. The LP is proposing some refreshing changes relying on local government boundaries to create Waverley, and renaming a recent historical name with a geographical one, which is the norm in NSW.	Minor changes

Holsworthy	If additional electors are needed in Holsworthy, suggest use of Liverpool Council as a southern boundary, and inclusion of Denham Court and Edmondson Park, as opposed to much older established areas in Liverpool and Warwick Farm	No Change		Significant changes with Macquarie Fields, Miranda, Heathcote and Liverpool	Liverpool. The changes with Liverpool significantly change the character of Holsworthy.
Hornsby	NP has proposed that additional electors in north west be used to supplement Districts on north shore, a position I agree with		No Change	Propose that Hornsby move eastwards taking in part of Ku-Ring-Gai and Epping.	Some similarities with NP and my proposals
Keira	NP suggestions for interchanges between Keira, Wollongong and Shellharbour have merit. I do not agree with transfers to Heathcote. Some transfers from Wollongong to Keira, and from Shellharbour to Wollongong are sufficient to balance up numbers.		No Change	Changes with Wollongong and Heathcote.	Displaces Keira to the south into Wollongong and shed electors in north to Heathcote
Kiama	Apart from some tidying up of boundary with South Coast as I have proposed, there is no need to change the existing Kiama/Shellharbour boundary.	Minor change with South Coast	No Change	Propose including parts of Shellharbour in Kiama.	Proposes a southerly displacement.
Kogarah	I do not agree with the large scale nature of the NP proposal		Propose that Oatley and Kogarah become east west aligned Districts	Earlwood. Propose that Kogarah be named Earlwood, due to significant changes, due to loss of much of Kogarah Council, and gaining much of former Canterbury. The more central suburb is Earlwood.	Minor additions from Canterbury
Ku-ring-gai	NP has proposed that additional electors in north west be used to supplement Districts on north shore, a position I agree with			Propose easterly movement of Ku-Ring-Gai on proposed abolition of Davidson.	Some similarities with NP and my proposals
Lake Macquarie	Little if any change needed.	No Change		Essentially unchanged.	Minor changes
Lakemba	On balance I believe the abolition of Canterbury is the better option.		abolished to create Bonnyrigg	Modified to incorporate abolition of Canterbury.	Bankstown
Lane Cove	NP has proposed that additional electors in north west be used to supplement Districts on north shore, a position I agree with			Proposal is quite reasonable but contingent on abolition of Davidson.	Some similarities with NP and my proposals
Leppington	I believe the creation of a more westerly District of Badgerys Creek is preferable.			Leppington. New District and is a reasonable consolidation of fast growing outer suburbs. It comprises portions of Holsworthy, Macquarie Fields, Liverpool and Camden.	
Lismore	The LP proposals for Byron, Lismore and Clarence make much more sense than the local government boundaries which largely shape existing boundaries.	Loss of Tenterfield Council to Northern Tablelands and gain Wollongbar from Ballina.	No Change	Interconnected changes between Byron, Lismore and Clarence	Some changes with Ballina and Lismore
Liverpool	Liverpool will undergo significant changes under virtually every proposal.	Losses to Badgerys Creek and expansion northwards into Cabramatta.	Quite large changes are proposed	Northward expansion of Liverpool into Cabramatta.	Green Valley arises as a consequence of significant changes elsewhere

Londonderry	I would retain the name and unless District is abolished and significant portions absorbed into north western Districts, I would retain a configuration like my proposal rather than create St Mary's. The District has a very odd construction and on balance my boundaries are preferable.		abolish and create St marys	St Marys. LP proposes extensive changes to Districts in this region.	Minor changes proposed with Penrith
Macquarie Fields		Losses to Badgerys Creek		Campbelltown and Macquarie Fields are significantly changed due to creation of Leppington.	Affected by consequence of changes
Maitland	Whilst my submission relies heavily on existing boundaries and long in place constructs, I find the LP suggestions refreshing changes. They revisit some older names, some former boundaries, and create a Cessnock, Maitland more in keeping with federal Divisional boundaries, and Gloucester and Raymond Terrace with a more rural outlook and the latter more closely based near Newcastle. Some of these ideas should be adopted. If the committee goes in another direction the nature of the NP transfers to Upper Hunter make a lot sense.		transfers to Cessnock	Significant reconfiguration of Cessnock, Maitland, Upper Hunter (Gloucester), and Port Stephens (Raymond Terrace)	A reduction in size with losses to Upper Hunter
Manly	NP has proposed that additional electors in north west be used to supplement Districts on north shore, a position I agree with			Proposal is quite reasonable but contingent on abolition of Davidson.	Some similarities with NP and my proposals
Maroubra		No Change		Botany Bay	Minor changes
Miranda		No Change		Transfer parts of Rockdale (as in Division of Cook) to Miranda	
Monaro	I prefer my changes to Monaro, Goulburn and Cootamundra	Small changes with Bega and Goulburn.	No Change	Proposes removing northern portion of Queanbeyan Palerang Council into Goulburn, and includes Eden from Bega.	Some minor changes that make little difference
Mount Druitt	Prefer my Mount Druitt boundaries which follow local government boundaries, major roads and are more coherent.	Addition of parts of Blacktown Council from Londonderry, but not as expansive as proposed here by LP.		Significant changes with Londonderry.	Expansion north of proposed Motorway
Mulgoa	Prefer my Mulgoa boundaries with limited changes.	Losses to Badgerys Creek		Proposes a southerly movement of District that I do not believe is necessary	Affected by consequence of changes
Murray	NP rearrangement of Barwon and Murray-Darling has merit		renamed Murray-Darling	Maintain existing configuration of Murray and Barwon. Whilst similar to my own proposal, the NP solution may be more sustainable longer term.	similar
Myall Lakes	The LP submission has a large displacement of electors inherent in it in this region. Other than rebalancing numbers I do not believe a significant movement is necessary.	No Change	No Change	Manning	No Change
Newcastle	I note similarities of some proposals for Newcastle and Charlestown.	Minor exchange between Newcastle and Charlestown.		Some changes with Wallsend and Charlestown.	Minor changes
Newtown	Boundary definitions seem stronger in LP proposal.			Due to changes with Woollahra, Waverley, Coogee there are flow on effects to Newtown.	Minor changes and westward displacement

North Shore	NP has proposed that additional electors in north west be used to supplement Districts on north shore, a position I agree with			Proposal is quite reasonable but contingent on abolition of Davidson.	Some similarities with NP and my proposals
Northern Tablelands	Note observations regarding LP Byron, Lismore, Clarence boundaries, as this affects Northern Tablelands.	Addition of Tenterfield Council.		Additions from Tamworth.	Addition of Tenterfield to District
Oatley	I do not agree with the large scale nature of the NP proposal		propose that Oatley and Kogarah become east west aligned Districts	Additions from Lakemba	Affected by consequence of changes
Orange	No comment	Same as NP and ALP	No Change	Minor change with Barwon	No Change
Oxley	Changes needed to rebalance numbers between Coffs Harbour, Oxley and Port Macquarie.	Changes needed to rebalance numbers between Coffs Harbour, Oxley and Port Macquarie.	No Change	No Change	Changes with Coffs Harbour and Port Macquarie
Parramatta	Does not need to expand to the west based on enrolments	No Change		Minor change with Baulkham Hills, Epping	Propose a westerly movement of District.
Penrith	NP proposal is similar to mine. LP similar in some respects but takes in larger portions of Londonderry and uses man made and Council boundaries. I prefer my proposals which is less expansive and maintains eastern boundary and adds electors from Londonderry to the north.			Similar western boundaries to mine and use of major roads and Council boundaries in place of existing easterly boundary.	Losses to Blue Mountains which are a common theme of proposals and an expansion into suburbs to east
Pittwater	NP has proposed that additional electors in north west be used to supplement Districts on north shore, a position I agree with		No Change	Proposal is quite reasonable but contingent on abolition of Davidson.	Some similarities with NP and my proposals
Port Macquarie	Changes needed to rebalance numbers between Coffs Harbour, Oxley and Port Macquarie.	Changes needed to rebalance numbers between Coffs Harbour, Oxley and Port Macquarie.	No Change	No Change	shrink
Port Stephens	Whilst my submission relies heavily on existing boundaries and long in place constructs, I find the LP suggestions refreshing changes. They revisit some older names, some former boundaries, and create a Cessnock, Maitland more in keeping with federal Divisional boundaries, and Gloucester and Raymond Terrace with a more rural outlook and the latter more closely based near Newcastle. Some of these ideas should be adopted. If the committee goes in another direction the nature of the NP transfers to Upper Hunter make a lot sense.	No Change	No Change	Raymond Terrace - Significant reconfiguration of Cessnock, Maitland, Upper Hunter (Gloucester), and Port Stephens (Raymond Terrace)	No Change
Prospect	No comment	I have proposed minor changes with Blacktown		Proposes an elongation of District	Affected by consequence of changes
Riverstone	Disagree with proposals to cede territory north of M7			Stanhope Gardens	Propose several crossings for M7 for Seven Hills and Blacktown.
Rockdale		Loss of southern portions to Kogarah, and gain of areas from abolition of Canterbury.	No Change	Transfer parts of Rockdale (as in Division of Cook) to Miranda. Transfers from Kogarah to Rockdale.	No Change
Ryde	NP has proposed that additional electors in north west be used to supplement Districts on north shore, a position I agree with		abolish and replace with Beecroft	Proposal is quite reasonable but contingent on abolition of Davidson.	Some similarities with NP and my proposals
Seven Hills	Disagree with proposals to cross the M7 as a boundary	Addition of Kings Park.	No Change	Addition of areas from Blacktown around Lalor Park.	Consolidates Seven Hills

Shellharbour	NP suggestions for interchanges between Keira, Wollongong and Shellharbour have merit. I do not agree with transfers to Heathcote. Some transfers from Wollongong to Keira, and from Shellharbour to Wollongong are sufficient to balance up numbers.	Small change with Wollongong		Minor change of boundaries with Kiama.	Displaced to south and loses some electors to Wollongong
South Coast	Prefer my solution	Minor change with Kiama	No Change	Changes to South Coast and Kiama due to changes to Monaro and Bega	Some minor additions from Bega that may not be necessary
Strathfield	In view of similarity of Liberal and Labor proposal for Drummoyne suggest that parts of Ashfield be added instead of Ashbury be added to Strathfield, or Belfield as NP has suggested		Inclusion of Belfield and part of Campsie	Propose loss of Strathfield North to Drummoyne and inclusion of Haberfield and Ashfield from Summer Hill.	Minor changes with additions from Summer Hill
Summer Hill	My westward movement of Summer Hill taking in parts of Canterbury is reflected in LP proposal			Westward movement of District into abolished District of Canterbury.	Canterbury (Summer Hill is abolished and renamed Canterbury)
Swansea	No comment	No Change		So additions from Charlestown	No Change
Sydney	LP relies on boundaries used in the past. They are quite strong and logical in the context of a rearrangement of Districts in the eastern suburbs.	Minor changes with additions from Newtown and losses to Heffron		Westward displacement of District relying more on South Dowling Street boundary and relies upon postcode and road boundaries..	Minor changes with slight southerly displacement
Tamworth	The extent of the transfers in the LP and NP submissions seems excessive	No Change	Large transfers between Upper Hunter, Tamworth and Northern Tablelands and Barwon	Large transfers between Upper Hunter, Tamworth and Northern Tablelands and Barwon	Gains from Upper Hunter and losses to Barwon
Terrigal	No change	No Change	No Change	No Change	No Change
The Entrance	No comment	Small change with Wyong	No Change	Propose a small change on the western shore of Tuggerah Lake.	similar
Tweed	No change	No Change	No Change	No Change	No Change
Upper Hunter	Whilst my submission relies heavily on existing boundaries and long in place constructs, I find the LP suggestions refreshing changes. They revisit some older names, some former boundaries, and create a Cessnock, Maitland more in keeping with federal Divisional boundaries, and Gloucester and Raymond Terrace with a more rural outlook and the latter more closely based near Newcastle. Some of these ideas should be adopted. If the committee goes in another direction the nature of the NP transfers to Upper Hunter make a lot sense.			Gloucester - Significant reconfiguration of Cessnock, Maitland, Upper Hunter (Gloucester), and Port Stephens (Raymond Terrace)	Singleton. Significant changes with Tamworth, Maitland, and Cessnock.

Vaucluse	The eastern suburbs seem to be a much bigger area of dispute as three parties and an independent represent Districts there. Creating Districts around significant local government entities as the LP proposes such as Woollahra, Waverley has merit. If that theme is adopted, rather than Coogee, the District could be called Randwick after another local government area. Waverley would be ocean focused and Woollahra harbour focused relying on a council/main road boundary and which could prove a durable new configuration	Minor changes with additions from Sydney.		Woollahra. Involves a large series of changes to Districts and in total have some merit, rather than mere tinkering with existing boundaries.	Minor changes with additions from Sydney.
Wagga Wagga	Identical to ALP submission	Addition of Gundagai	No Change	Proposes the inclusion of mountainous regions from Albury	Gains Gundagai from Cootamundra
Wakehurst	NP has proposed that additional electors in north west be used to supplement Districts on north shore, a position I agree with			Proposal is quite reasonable but contingent on abolition of Davidson.	Some similarities with NP and my proposals
Wallsend	No comment	No Change		Some minor changes with Charelstown, Cessnock and Lake Macquarie	Minor changes
Willoughby	NP has proposed that additional electors in north west be used to supplement Districts on north shore, a position I agree with			Proposal is quite reasonable but contingent on abolition of Davidson.	Some similarities with NP and my proposals
Windsor			Windsor - created in north		
Wollondilly	I do not agree with the resolution for Camden or Wollondilly. I propose a slight northerly movement of Wollondilly with a transfer of an area west of the Hume	Small transfer to Goulburn		Proposes a southerly movement of boundaries.	
Wollongong	interchanges between Keira, Wollongong and Shellharbour have merit. I do not agree with transfers to Heathcote. Some	Small change with Shellharbour		Propose some additions from Shellharbour.	Loses electors to Keira and gains electors from Shellharbour
Wyong	No comment	Small change with The Entrance	No Change	No Change	shrink
Minto	The transfers of the north of Camden to a new District Badgery's Creek is a preferable and less disruptive solution to this fast growing District (Camden).				Minto - proposed to be created from Macquarie Fields and Campbelltown